# A Study on The Factors Affecting the Quality of Work Life Balance amongst Employees: Based on Indian IT Sector

Archita Banerjee

Assistant Professor Institute of Management Study

Rahul Kumar Ghosh Research Scholar Seacom Skills University

# Abstract

In today's competitive era when both male and female are working together, they share job roles and responsibilities, acknowledgements, credibility along with that they have to share the mental stress in their own domains. Research studies have yielded results that high level of attrition especially in service sectors is because of job dissatisfaction and failing of commitments both in work and family life by either of the genders. Factors which act in motivating employees, the strategies which the organizations develop to make them feel attracted towards their job are a reflection of job satisfaction. The study revolves around the intrinsic and extrinsic factors of Herzberg Motivation - Hygiene Theory. It tries to find a cause behind work-family conflict and the necessary steps which could be taken to bridge the gap.

**Keywords** – Job satisfaction, Work - Family conflict, mental stress, strategies, Herzberg Motivation – Hygiene, Quality of Work Life Balance (QWLB)

# Introduction

As per (Teck-Hong, Waheed 2011) in the Herzberg Motivation -Hygiene Theory, various factors like compensation, recognition, working policy, achievement, responsibilities, growth etc. affect employee's motivation. Out of many issues under working policy the factor which is primarily affecting the morale of employees' working and state of remaining with the organization is called "Quality of Work Life Balance".

It has been observed in certain organizations the financial institution like banks, increasing the transaction hours to fulfill customer satisfaction actually had an adverse effect on employees' both physically and mentally leading to fall in work progress. Consequences were remarkably high on employees' who were directly involved in finance related services. This situation led the employers to think on Quality of Work Life.

The service sectors add a lot to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). Consequently, several studies have pointed out that work life balance issues are more dominant in the sectors specifically Call Centre employees, Information Technology professionals, Bank employees, University Academicians or Administrative staffs, Doctors and others. The study on these sectors projected results pointing towards Call Centre and Information Technology professionals had more work life balance issues compared to others. The reason behind was because of working hours, job insecurity, insufficient leave arrangement, job stress etc.

**Meaning of Quality Work Life Balance** – It is the quality of the comfort ability of the rapport between employees and their total working conditions with human dimensions added to the provision of services. In a quality of work life, the quality of life of a person or group depending on their standard of living, public health, safety and general surrounding, whereas, quality work life describes the factors that affect their life such as salary and other benefits. It also indicates for a noble supervision, appropriate working environments, and decent remuneration package including a stimulating, inspiring and worthwhile job profile.

# Significance of Quality of Work Life

- The philosophy of attaining high quality work life is through employee relations that encourage organization for strategic efforts to provide opportunities to their workers, so that they contribute in larger sums in their respective jobs by improving their overall effectiveness in job performance.
- Willingness to come to work is a reflection of job satisfaction. According to (Locke 1976), he defined

"job satisfaction as an emotional state of mind which is a result of one's positive experience in his job."

# Literature Review

(Roelofsen 2002), study showed results by improving the working environment reduced complaints and absenteeism with an increase in productivity. As per (Wells 2000), workplace pleasure has been associated with job satisfaction. Latest reports show, employees satisfaction on the job, is through workplace conditions and environment. It is recognized as an important factor for evaluating productivity of employees. Significantly true, for those employees who spend entire day working on computers. With organizations using maximum computers for increasing businesses an ergonomic designs for offices and plant installations has become mandatory. The science of Ergonomics is also called biomechanics, and it has become accepted because of demand of workers for more human comfort.

Some of the strategies adopted by companies specially the blue – chips, to increase work – place balance and increase productivity and performance of employee's are –

Organizational Initiatives – As per (Singh 2013) in a Strategic Human Resource Management (SHRM) survey it was found that top five formal friendly initiatives are –



**Figure 1: Organizational Initiatives** 

Source: Individual and Organizational Strategies to Balance Work-life with Indian Way, (Singh 2013)

**Supportive Work Culture – (Chawala, Sondhi 2011),** states, 'Supportive' organizations profile provide a link and (Rousseau 1995), stated that, a psychological contract between the Individual and Organizational Strategies to Balance Work - life. This helps and enhances his work/non work conflict and at the same time increases and individual's sense of commitment.

**Friendly Initiatives to Resolve Work-Life Conflicts** – (Singh 2013), states that, friendly policies can benefit employers in retaining highly skilled employees who might otherwise search for more cooperative employers or leave the workforce entirely. Organizations with friendly policies decrease absenteeism, increase productivity, and can improve employers' attraction ability.

## Few case studies based on Work Life Balance:

## Case-I-Related to Work-Family Conflict

64.2 % of the population in European Union is in employment out of which 19.2% are involved in part-time employment. This ratio trails over 20 years in Europe especially evident in countries where flexible working, part –time employment policies are on the rise.

Conventional theory of 8 hours of working is no longer in fashion with the emergence of Information Technology, which ensures employee must be available for 24/7.

In 2009 research on working time developments was conducted throughout European countries by The European foundation. An average population of EU agreed their weekly working time was 38.7 hrs. but a contrary report by full time employees of 20 countries out of 28 countries said

they had long actual working hours.

Employees from Romania, Malta, Luxembourg and UK had the highest actual working hours in comparison to Finland, Ireland, France and Italy which have the lowest hours.

These parts of EU had prevalent issues of work-life balance. As per estimation more than one-quarter of Europeans suffer from work-family conflict (FAMILY ISSUES AND WORK-LIFE BALANCE)

## Case-II-Related to Time Conflict

The survey was done taking in consideration both gender workers. The summary of the report was female workers complained they had too little time to meet daily household chores and male workers admitted they spent too much time at work.



## Figure 2: Time Conflict Structure Source: (FAMILY ISSUES AND WORK-LIFE BALANCE)

The figure above shows a composite picture of male and female genders and their reaction towards time conflict

between work and home.



Figure 3: Gender – wise Time Conflict Source: (FAMILY ISSUES AND WORK-LIFE BALANCE)

# Case-III-Related to Health

Researchers have observed work -life conflict to be a source

of innumerable indicators of poor health and unhealthy lifestyle:-



Figure 4: Health Indicators Source: (FAMILY ISSUES AND WORK-LIFE BALANCE)

(Frone, Russell, Barnes 1996), conducted a study on understanding the relationship of work-family conflict, family-work conflict based on health grounds. The outcome irrespective of genders indicated an association with depression, poor physical health and heavy alcohol usage. There is a negative relationship between works –family of both directions with job-life satisfaction as per (Kossek, Ozeki 1998).

Groups working under inopportune environment may end up with low performance and face occupational health diseases like high absenteeism and turnover. In many organization employees suffer working condition problems related to mental and physical factors. According to (Pech, Slade 2006), employee disengagement increases and it becomes more significant to make workplaces negatively influence. They focused on indicators of disengagement which are distraction, lack of interest, poor decisions and high absence, rather than the root causes. The physical environment at work is the key root behind employee's engagement or disengagement.

# **Research Objectives**

This study encompasses on quality of work life balance and how does it have an impact on work performance. To understand the issue we have taken few case studies of various industries and have surveyed IT company employees especially as this service sector faces most issues in work life balance.

# Sampling

The questionnaire was given to 320 employees from whom 300 were found to be applicable for analysis. Only the state

of West Bengal was considered for the purpose of sampling. Simple random sampling was used for the process. The simple random sample is meant to be an unbiased representation of a group.

## **Primary Data Analysis**

The primary data analysis to find out the significant factors which are affecting Quality Life Work Balance of IT employees in West Bengal has been done using SPSS 21.0. The primary data analysis mainly focused at 1) Extracting the dimensions of Quality Life Work Balance of IT employees. Initially, the Reliability Statistics which is Cronbach's Alpha and the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) Measure of Sampling Adequacy was done to find out the constancy of the data and to determine the degree of the sample size accuracy respectively. Then, Factor Analysis (PCA) was done to extract the possible factors of Quality Life Work Balance of IT employees. Finally, 1-sample t-test is used to define whether a sample comes from a population with a particular mean. The population mean is not known in this case, so it has been hypothesized as  $\mu = 3$ .

# Empirics

The demographics of the respondent were presented under the 3 attributes i.e. qualification, gender and age. According to, Table – 1, the maximum 43.7 percent of the employees are Post Graduate degree holders while 39.3 percent are Graduate degree holder and the remaining 17.0 percent are Diploma holders. The analysis depicts that most of the employees counting a total of 131 in numbers are Post Graduate degree holder which means that the Post Graduate degree is quite common among the employees.

	Education Level								
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative				
					Percent				
Valid	Graduate	118	39.3	39.3	39.3				
	Post Graduate	131	43.7	43.7	83.0				
	Diploma	51	17.0	17.0	100.0				
	Total	300	100.0	100.0					

Table – 1 Education Level

Table -2, a maximum of 51.3 percent of the employees in the present study are female employees and the residual 48.7 percent of the employees are male. It depicts that the

prevailing gender of the employees is female employees in the present study.

Table – 2	
Gender	

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Male	146	48.7	48.7	48.7
Valid	Female	154	51.3	51.3	100.0
	Total	300	100.0	100.0	

According to Table -3, the noteworthy age group among the employees is Above 29 as it is consisted of 22.0 percent of total sample size. The other important age groups are Less Than 23 and 23 -25, as a whole they are representing 42.7 percent of the total sample size. The other age groups which

are 25-27 and 27-29 represents 17.0 and 18.3 percentage of the total sample size respectively. The analysis reveals that the important age groups of the employees are Above 29, Less Than 23 and 23 – 25 because as a whole they are representing 64.7 percentage of the total sample.

Table – 3 Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Less Than 23	63	21.0	21.0	21.0
	23 - 25	65	21.7	21.7	42.7
¥7-1:4	25 - 27	51	17.0	17.0	59.7
vand	27 - 29	55	18.3	18.3	78.0
	Above 29	66	22.0	22.0	100.0
	Total	300	100.0	100.0	

# **Reliability Statistics**

According to Table – 4 the Reliability Statistics which is Cronbach's Alpha was found to be .679 which is moderately high for the 11 variables. Hence, the internal consistency of the dataset is effective and can be consider for further analysis.

Table – 4						
<b>Reliability Statistics</b>						

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.679	.667	11

# KMO and Bartlett's Test

According to Table - 5 the KMO = 0.682, this specifies that the sample is appropriate. The p-value (Sig.) of .000 < 0.05,

hence the Factor Analysis is can be done. The approximate Chi-square is 2505.199 with 55 degrees of freedom (Df), which is significant at 95% Level of Significance.

Table – 5	
KMO and Bartlett's Test	

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.		.682
	Approx. Chi-Square	2505.199
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	df	55
	Sig.	.000

#### **Factor Analysis**

As there are numerous inter-related variables present for measuring the Quality Life Work Balance of IT employees, Factor Analysis is used to extract and club the various probable factors responsible for Quality Life Work Balance of IT employees. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is used as the technique for extracting the factors along with the Varimax rotation method. The factors which has Eigen-Value of more than 1 has been taken as significant, because Eigen-Value greater than 1 indicates that principal components account for more variance than accounted by one of the original variables in standardized data. This is commonly used cutoff point for which principal components are to be retained (G. F. (n.d.). Principal ComponentAnalysis).

#### Communalities

According to Table - 6 the communalities of all the variables was higher than 0.68 which depicts that more than 68% of the variations in all the variables were explained by the factors.

Table – 6 Communalities

	Initial	Extraction
Flexi timing	1.000	.681
Virtual workplace	1.000	.911
Supportive superior	1.000	.852
Consider personal problem	1.000	.886
Stress management through development program	1.000	.797
Supportive Team member	1.000	.848
Gender partiality	1.000	.839
Additional pressure by superior	1.000	.837
Concerned about personal requirement	1.000	.874
Concerned for family	1.000	.784
Easy to avail leaves	1.000	.919

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

-

## **Total Variance Explained**

From Table -7 it can be described that the 1st Factor which was consider to summarize 4 variables was able to explain 29.51% of variance, the 2nd Factor which was consider to summarize 3 variables was able to explain 23.57%, the 3rd

Factor which was consider to summarize 2 variables was able to explain 15.61% of variance and the remaining 2 variables was able to explain 15.20% of variance forming the 4th Factor. All together these 4 Factors were able to explain 83.89% of the variance in total.

	Table -	- 7
al	Variance	Explaine

.

Iotal variance Explained									
Compone	In	itial Eige	nvalues	Extraction Sums of			Rotation Sums of		
nt				S	quared Lo	oadings	Squared Loadings		
	Tota	% of	Cumulati	Tota	% of	Cumulati	Tota	% of	Cumulati
	1	Varian	ve %	1	Varian	ve %	1	Varian	ve %
		ce			ce			ce	
1	3.25	29.549	29.549	3.25	29.549	29.549	3.24	29.505	29.505
1	0			0			6		
2	2.60	23.634	53.183	2.60	23.634	53.183	2.59	23.572	53.077
2	0			0			3		
3	1.75	15.979	69.162	1.75	15.979	69.162	1.71	15.611	68.688
5	8			8			7		
4	1.62	14.730	83.892	1.62	14.730	83.892	1.67	15.204	83.892
7	0			0			2		
5	.449	4.080	87.973						
6	.336	3.053	91.026						
7	.308	2.802	93.828						
8	.287	2.607	96.436						
9	.263	2.392	98.828						
10	.106	.968	99.796						
11	.022	.204	100.000						

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

#### **Rotated Component Matrix**

According to Table -8, the 1st Factor was formed with the 4 variables namely Flexi timing (.814), Virtual workplace (.951), Consider personal problem (.940) and Concerned for family (.885) all together it accounted for 29.51% of variance.

The 2nd Factor was formed with 3 variables namely Stress management through development program (.892), Concerned about personal requirement (.932) and Easy to

avail leaves (.958) all together it accounted for 23.57% of variance.

The 3rd Factor was formed with 2 variables namely Supportive superior (.921) and Supportive Team member (.920) all together it accounted for 15.61% of variance.

The 4th Factor was formed with 2 variables namely Gender partiality (.909), Additional pressure by superior (.912) all together it accounted for 15.20%. Altogether the 4 Factors collectively were able to explain 83.89% of the variance.

Rotated Component Matrix <sup>a</sup>								
	Component							
	1	2	3	4				
Flexi timing	.814	.041	096	.093				
Virtual workplace	.951	031	.061	045				
Supportive superior	.022	.041	.921	.047				
Consider personal problem	.940	015	.038	024				
Stress management through development	.021	.892	.019	.003				
program								
Supportive Team member	038	003	.920	007				
Gender partiality	.085	.014	.077	.909				
Additional pressure by superior	041	042	036	.912				
Concerned about personal requirement	038	.934	.016	014				
Concerned for family	.885	008	016	.029				
Easy to avail leaves	.008	.958	.008	022				

 Table – 8

 Rotated Component Matrix<sup>a</sup>

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.<sup>a</sup>

a. Rotation converged in 4 iterations.

# Nomenclature of the Factors

These 4 factors which were finally extracted have factor loading of more than 0.814 and these factors have been

referred as the dimensions of employee job satisfaction. The following Table -9 shows the factors nomenclature as well as the variables loading point for each factors.

Table – 9

Factors of Quality Life work balance of 11 employees from Factor Analysis								
Factors			Name of the					
	Variables	Factor Loading	Factors (Latent					
			Variable)					
1	Flexi timing	.814						
	Virtual workplace .951		Dorsonal & Family					
	Consider personal problem	onsider personal problem .940						
	Concerned for family	Concerned for family .885						
2	Stress management through	.892	Optimistic Working Environment					
	development program							
	Concerned about personal requirement	.934						
	Easy to avail leaves .958							
3	Supportive superior .921		Warls Dalation					
	Supportive Team member	.920	work Kelation					
4	Gender partiality	.909	Domoralizing					
	Additional pressure by	012	Working Culture					
	superior	.912						

Factors of Quality Life Work Balance of IT employees from Factor Analysis

# One Sample t-Test

One sample t-test is a statistical procedure for testing the mean value of a distribution. It can be used under the hypothesis that sampled distribution is normal. It is used to know whether the sample comes from a specific population but the full population information is not obtainable. The one sample t-test is used for testing the sample mean.

According to Table -10, among all the 11 variables, 6 variables are having higher mean and the remaining 5 variables are having lower mean than the population normal hypothesized mean of  $\mu = 3$ .

Table - 10One-Sample Statistics

	N	Mean	Std.	Std. Error
			Deviation	Mean
Flexi timing	300	3.30	1.516	.088
Virtual workplace	300	3.22	1.507	.087
Supportive superior	300	2.63	1.221	.071
Consider personal problem	300	3.29	1.455	.084
Stress management through development	300	2.75	1.209	.070
program				
Supportive Team member	300	2.68	1.118	.065
Gender partiality	300	3.18	1.466	.085
Additional pressure by superior	300	3.33	1.413	.082
Concerned about personal requirement	300	2.74	1.305	.075
Concerned for family	300	3.21	1.520	.088
Easy to avail leaves	300	2.76	1.255	.072

Specifically, the mean of 6 variables which are having higher mean were Flexi timing  $(3.30 \pm 1.52)$ , Virtual workplace  $(3.22 \pm 1.51)$ , Consider personal problem  $(3.29 \pm 1.46)$ , Gender partiality  $(3.18 \pm 1.47)$ , Additional pressure by superior  $(3.33 \pm 1.41)$  and Concerned for family  $(3.21 \pm 1.52)$ , these variables were having higher mean than the population normal mean, which was taken as  $\mu = 3$ .

On other hand, the mean of remaining 5 variables which are having lower mean were Supportive superior  $(2.63 \pm 1.22)$ , Stress management through development program  $(2.75 \pm 1.21)$ , Supportive Team member  $(2.68 \pm 1.12)$ , Concerned about personal requirement  $(2.74 \pm 1.31)$  and Easy to avail leaves  $(2.76 \pm 1.26)$ .

According to Table -11, the 6 variables which were having higher mean than the population mean can be further explained as, Additional pressure by superior is having the maximum highest mean difference of 0.333, at 95% CI the lower and upper bound are 0.17 and 0.49 respectively, with t-value of 4.087 this variable is statistically significant at 0.05 level, Flexi timing is having the 2nd highest mean difference of 0.300, at 95% CI the lower and upper bound are 0.13 and 0.47 respectively, with t-value of 3.428 this variable is statistically significant at 0.05 level, Consider personal problem is having the 3rd highest mean difference of 0.287, at 95% CI the lower and upper bound are 0.12 and 0.45 respectively, with t-value of 3.412 this variable is statistically significant at 0.05 level, Virtual workplace is having the 4th highest mean difference of 0.220, at 95% CI the lower and upper bound are 0.05 and 0.39 respectively,

with t-value of 2.528 this variable is statistically significant at 0.05 level, Concerned for family is having the 5th highest mean difference of 0.207, at 95% CI the lower and upper bound are 0.03 and 0.38 respectively, with t-value of 2.354 this variable is statistically significant at 0.05 level and Gender partiality is the last and having the 6th highest mean difference of 0.183, at 95% CI the lower and upper bound are 0.02 and 0.35 respectively, with t-value of 2.166 this variable is statistically significant at 0.05 level.

Alternatively, the 5 variables which were having lower mean than the population mean can be further explained as, Supportive superior is having the maximum lowest mean difference of -0.370, at 95% CI the lower and upper bound are -0.51 and -0.23 respectively, with t-value of -5.248 this variable is statistically significant at 0.05 level, Supportive Team member is having the 2nd lowest mean difference of -0.323, at 95% CI the lower and upper bound are -0.45 and -0.20 respectively, with t-value of -5.010 this variable is statistically significant at 0.05 level, Concerned about personal requirement is having the 3rd lowest mean difference of -0.257, at 95% CI the lower and upper bound are -0.40 and -0.11 respectively, with t-value of -3.406 this variable is statistically significant at 0.05 level, Stress management through is having the 4th lowest mean difference of -0.253, at 95% CI the lower and upper bound are - 0.39 and -0.12 respectively, with t-value of -3.631 this variable is statistically significant at 0.05 level and Easy to avail leaves is the last and having the 5th lowest mean difference of -0.240, at 95% CI the lower and upper bound are -0.38 and -0.10 respectively, with t-value of -3.313 this

Une-Sample Test										
	Test Value = 3									
	t	df	Sig. (2-	Mean	95% Confidence					
			tailed)	Difference	Interval of the					
					Difference					
					Lower	Upper				
Flexi timing	3.428	299	.001	.300	.13	.47				
Virtual workplace	2.528	299	.012	.220	.05	.39				
Supportive superior	-	299	.000	370	51	23				
Supportive superior	5.248									
Consider personal problem	3.412	299	.001	.287	.12	.45				
Stress management through	-	299	.000	253	39	12				
development program	3.631									
Supportive Team member	-	299	.000	323	45	20				
Supportive reall member	5.010									
Gender partiality	2.166	299	.031	.183	.02	.35				
Additional pressure by superior	4.087	299	.000	.333	.17	.49				
Concerned about personal	-	299	.001	257	40	11				
requirement	3.406									
Concerned for family	2.354	299	.019	.207	.03	.38				
Fasy to avail looves	-	299	.001	1@240	38	10				
Lasy to avail leaves	3.313									

Table – 11 One-Sample Test

# Conclusion

The most important contributing factors for Quality Life Work Balance of IT employees in West Bengal are identified in this particular objective through primary data and the factors were defined after using factor analysis. The factors defined were "Personal & Family", "Optimistic Working Environment", "Work Relation" and "Demoralizing Working Culture" All the factors were found significant at 0.05 level. Considering all the variables were significantly important for forming the above mentioned factors, 1sample t-test is used to define whether a sample comes from a population with a particular mean. The population mean was hypothesized as  $\mu = 3$ .

The variables of the factors "Personal & Family" and "Demoralizing Working Culture" were found to have a higher mean than the population normal mean, particularly the variable Additional pressure by superior is having the maximum higher mean difference of 0.333 while the variable Gender partiality having the minimum higher mean difference of 0.183.

However, on other hand the variables of the factors "Optimistic Working Environment" and "Work Relation" were found to have lower mean than the population normal mean, particularly the variable Supportive superior is having the maximum lowest mean difference of -0.370 while the variable Easy to avail leaves is having the minimum lowest mean difference of -0.240.

# Reference

- Chawala, D., & Sondhi, N. (2011, October). Assessing Work-Life Balance Among Indian Women Professionals'. The Indian Journal of Industrial Relations, 27(2). Retrieved September 5, 2016, f r o m https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1G1-349721413/assessing-work-life-balance-amongindian-women-professionals.
- FAMILY ISSUES AND WORK-LIFE BALANCE. (n.d.). European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, 1-8. Retrieved September 5, 2016, from https://osha.europa.eu/en/tools-andpublications/publications/e-facts/e-fact-57family-issues-work-life-balance. http://osha.europa.eu
- Frone, M. R., Russell, M., & Barnes, G. M. (1996). Work–family conflict, gender, and health-related outcomes: A study of employed parents in two community samples. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 1(1), 57-69. doi:10.1037/1076-8998.1.1.57
- Kossek, E. E., & Ozeki, C. (1998). Work-family conflict, policies, and the job-life satisfaction relationship: A review and directions for organizational behaviorhuman resources research. Journal of Applied Psychology, 83(2), 139-149. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.83.2.139

- Locke, E. A. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In M.D. Dunnette (Ed.), Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology (pp.1297-1349). Chicago: Rand McNall
- Pech, R., & Slade, B. (2006). Employee disengagement: Is there evidence of a growing problem? Handbook of Business Strategy, 7(1), 21-25. doi:10.1108/ 10775730610618585
- Roelofsen, P. (2002). The impact of office environments on employee performance: The design of the workplace as a strategy for productivity enhancement. Journal of Facilities Management J of Facilities Management, 1(3), 247-264. doi:10.1108/14725960310807944
- Rousseau, D. (1995). Psychological Contracts in Organizations: Understanding Written and Unwritten Agreements. doi:10.4135/ 9781452231594

- Singh, J. (2013). Individual and Organizational Strategies to Balance Work-life with Indian Way. Global Journal of Management and Business Studies, 3(3), 203-210. Retrieved September 5, 2016, from http://www.ripublication.com/gjmbs\_spl/gjmbsv3 n3spl\_02.pdf ISSN 2248-9878
- Teck-Hong, T., & Waheed, A. (2011, January). HERZBERG'S MOTIVATION-HYGIENE THEORY AND JOB SATISFACTION IN THE MALAYSIAN RETAIL SECTOR: THE MEDIATING EFFECT OF LOVE OF MONEY. Asian Academy of Management Journal, 16(1), 73-94. Retrieved September 5, 2016, from http://web.usm.my/aamj/16.1.2011/aamj\_16.1.4.p df
- Wells, M. M. (2000). Office Clutter Or Meaningful Personal Displays: The Role Of Office Personalization In Employee And Organizational Well-Being. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 20(3), 239-255. doi:10.1006/jevp.1999.0166