# **Determinants of Perceived Risk In Purchase of Car**

T. SUNITHA\*, T. FRANK SUNIL JUSTUS\*\*, M. RAMESH\*\*\*

The Indian passenger vehicle industry will reach 4.86 million in annual sales by 2016 representing a growth of 10.8 per cent CAGR over the next five years. A buoyant economic growth, growing middle class, rising disposable income levels, relatively low penetration of cars and adequate availability of financing are likely to provide an ideal backdrop for a sustained long term demand growth for the sector.

Perceived risk happens to be a major factor in the purchase decision process of a customer. India continues to have one of the lowest car density (estimated at 13 cars per 1000 people), when compared to China (45), Brazil (160) and Indonesia (42). This provides an ideal platform for car manufacturers to cash in. However, unless the customer reaches a situation where he feels the risk perception is low, it is difficult for a prospective buyer to become a buyer. This study tries to ascertain the various factors that can cause perceive risk while purchasing the car and makes an attempt to find out the influence of risk reduction factors which can further be used by manufacturers and dealers of motor cars.

Keywords : Perceived Risk, Consumer Behavior, Car

#### Introduction

Consumer Behavior is the process involved when individuals or groups select, purchase, use, or dispose of products, services, ideas or experiences to satisfy needs and desires. Consumers have a number of enduring perceptions, or images, that are particularly relevant to the study of Consumer Behavior. A major factor affecting personal relevance and motivation is perceived risk, the extent to which the consumer is uncertain about the personal consequences of buying, using or disposing of an offering. If negative outcomes are likely or positive outcomes are unlikely, perceived risk is high.

Perceived risk is defined as the uncertainty that consumers face when they cannot foresee the consequences of their purchase decisions. The degree of risk that consumers perceive and their own tolerance for risk taking are factors that influence their purchase strategies. Car Market in India

Since 1991, India has moved to being a market that for most Multi national manufacturers is inevitable. India's crusade to remodel into a global manufacturing hub has received an enthusiastic response from automakers hailing from diverse locations and hues. Data for calendar year 2010 depicts that vehicle production grew by 32.69% over the same period last year with production of around 17,076,659 vehicles.



<sup>\*</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, Annamalai University

<sup>\*\*</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, Annamalai University

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, Annamalai University

# **Review of Literature**

The various risks has been reviewed as follows in the following research works.

Technical risk	The probability that a purchased product results in failure to function as expected.	Nicolas & Castillo, 2008			
Service risk	The probability that the firm will not offer a good service in the future.	Nicolas & Castillo, 2008			
Social risk	The probability that a product purchased results in the disapproval of family or friends.	Nicolas & Castillo, 2008			
Time Risk	The sensation of wasting time associated with the purchase, and especially, the time that the consumer perceives is unnecessarily spent in looking for and finding goods	Mafe et al, 2009			
Psychological risk	The probability that a product results in inconsistency with self-image	Forsythe & Shi, 2003			
Financial Risk	The probability that a purchase results in loss of money or other resources	Forsythe & Shi, 2003			
Product risk	Product risk is the risk of making a poor or inappropriate purchasing decision	Doolin et al, 2002			
Brand risk	Brand risk is the risk that the specific product may have problem	Massad & Tucker, 2000			

# **Objectives of the Study**

- To identify factors that can contribute to minimization of perceived risk.
- To identify the factors that contributes to perceived risk in purchase of car.
- To provide suitable suggestions pertinent to the findings

# **Sampling Frame Work**

The convenience sampling procedure was adopted for this study and persons who had taken a car out of the three selected brands in the last three months or were definitely buying a car within a month in Chidambaram town were selected as respondents. Primary data are collected with the help of questionnaire. A total of 104 respondents from Chidambaram town were surveyed.

# Analysis and interpretation

The tables showing the results are obtained by processing data using one way ANOVA and independent sample t test. The results obtained have been discussed quoting sufficient supporting literature of previous works done in this area.

From this table it can be inferred that, factors like word of mouth, magazine reviews, test drive, availability of service stations do have a major role in the purchase decision making and serve to reduce the perceived risk associated with the purchase.

Advertisements, mechanics, manufacturer's country of origin have a moderate impact on the decision process and also serve to minimize the perceived risk factors.

Factors	Mean	Standard
		Deviation
Word of mouth as my peers own a similar car	2.42	1.252
The sales man	3.36	1.190
The test drive that was offered by the dealer	2.60	1.289
Review that I read from Auto magazines	2.32	1.201
My preference for this brand right from my child hood	3.16	1.301
The frequent advertisements that I saw in television	2.87	1.270
The recommendation of motor mechanic	2.94	1.276
The availability of company authorized Sales / service stations	2.40	2.240
The chosen car manufacturers' country of origin	2.78	1.314
The announcement of extended free service / price reduction / insurance	2.93	1.176
The follow up of customer satisfaction index ratings	3.07	1.360

Table 1 Factors that can decrease perceived risk

Source: Primary data computed

Chu (2008) indicated that consumers look for risk reduction strategies to make him or her more comfortable with purchase, or to reduce the perceived risk until it is below his or her level of acceptable risk. In other words, consumers become clearer about their purchase object and know how to solve the uncertainties throughout the transaction process. Therefore, finding key risk reduction strategies for both experience and search goods actually help stimulate consumers' purchase intentions.

From the table it is observed that word of mouth decreases the social and time risk and aids in the purchase decision process. The role of sales executive helps to reduce physical, functional, financial, social and psychological risk. It is the role of the sales executive to demonstrate the various features of the car and hence his role is found important in reducing the various factors of perceived risk. Brand has an impact on reducing the financial risk proving that customers are willing to pay a higher premium for a recognized brand.

Advertisement is found effective in reducing the social risk and time risk component of perceived risk. Advertisement positions the vehicle based on the target segment and helps to reduce social risk. Solomon (2009) revealed that many people think of Hyundai strictly as a low cost vehicle even though it has received high marks for quality in recent years. The carmaker's "Think About It" campaign tried to get consumers to reconsider their long held beliefs through frank statements like "The logo is there to tell you what the car is, not who you are" that helped customers to switch from their known brands.

Risk		Word of mouth	Sales Executi ve	Test drive	Aut o mag azin es	Brand	Advert isemen ts	Mot or mec hani c	Ser vice	Origi n	Free insur ance	Ratin gs
Physic al risk	R - Value	.118	.217(*)	117	080	.039	.098	.046	.110	.046	.157	003
	Sig	.234	.027	.237	.418	.696	.320	.640	.266	.642	.110	.977
Functi onal	R - Value	.053	.301(**)	.063	083	.042	.103	)77	- .023	.037	018	.020
Risk	Sig	.591	.002	.528	.405	.669	.298	.439	.818	.707	.857	.840
Financ ial	R - Value	.176	.242(*)	.075	.055	.208(*)	.102	.100	.122	030	.019	.013
Risk	Sig	.074	.014	.452	.578	.034	.303	.314	.217	.764	.845	.892
Social risk	R - Value	.223(*)	.257(**)	.020	.087	.013	.216(*)	.192	.114	.028	.083	013
	Sig	.023	.008	.844	.381	.898	.028	.051	.251	.781	.403	.895
Time risk	R - Value	.263(**)	.089	.065	.061	.131	.207(*)	- .027	.077	.017	.055	071
	Sig	.007	.370	.513	.536	.184	.035	.785	.436	.865	.581	.476
Psych ologic	R - Value	.172	.194(*)	.096	.109	.133	.151	- .042	.090	.096	.017	.069
al Risk	Sig	.081	.049	.331	.269	.178	.125	.670	.364	.333	.866	.486

**Table 2 Risk and Risk Reduction Factors** 

\*\* Significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Source: Primary data computed

\* Significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

Risk	Brand	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	F	Sig.
	Maruti	39	3.0163	.60045		.554 (NS)
Dhusiaal risk	Hyundai	33	3.0000	.60984	504	
Physical fisk	Tata	32	2.8750	.52491	.394	
	Total	104	2.9677	.57912		
	Maruti	39	3.0000	.58700		.655 (NS)
Functional risk	Hyundai	33	3.1818	.58471	125	
Functional fisk	Tata	32	3.0469	.55335	.423	
	Total	104	3.0721	.57578		
	Maruti	39	3.2650	.61528		.655 (NS)
Eineneiel rielt	Hyundai	33	3.1313	.83539	125	
Financiai fisk	Tata	32	3.1146	.84595	.423	
	Total	104	3.1763	.75939		
	Maruti	39	3.0983	.73520		.924 (NS)
Social rick	Hyundai	33	3.1717	.82757	070	
Social fisk	Tata	32	3.1458	.84534	.079	
	Total	104	3.1362	.79262		
	Maruti	39	3.0667	.74739		.785 (NS)
Time viels	Hyundai	33	3.1758	.77097	242	
Time risk	Tata	32	3.0500	.88864	.245	
	Total	104	3.0962	.79463		
	Maruti	39	3.1172	.65043		.854
Developical risk	Hyundai	33	3.0260	.76553	150	
r sychological fisk	Tata	32	3.0982	.73063	.138	(NS)
	Total	104	3.0824	.70737		

Table-3 Risk Factors And Brand Of Car

Source: Primary data computed

From table 4.6 it is observed that respondents preferring to buy Maruti vehicles perceive lower physical risk compared to respondents preferring to buy Hyundai and Tata vehicles. Respondents preferring to buy Hyundai vehicles face less functional risk compared to respondents preferring to buy Maruti and Tata vehicles. Respondents preferring to buy Maruti vehicles perceive less financial risk compared to those preferring to buy the other two brands. This may be due to the value for money positioning being followed by Maruti. Respondents willing to buy Hyundai and Tata vehicles perceive a lower social risk compared to those planning to buy Maruti vehicles. Chabra (2011) revealed that customers perhaps associate Maruti with reliability and affordability but could not relate the brand with luxury and exclusivity. Respondents planning to buy Tata vehicles perceive the least time risk followed by those who plan to buy Maruti and Hyundai vehicles respectively. Respondents planning to buy Maruti vehicle perceive the lowest psychological risk.

Loudon (2007) identified that brand names can be very valuable assets of the company and some brands will not be considered by the consumer because of this unawareness. One Way ANOVA was applied to identify the significant level of difference between the different brands of car to be owned by the respondent towards the risk components. From P value it was observed that there is no significant difference between the different car brands to be owned by respondent towards risk.

Risk	Source	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	F	Sig.
	Upto 30	39	2.8275	.55799		
physical Disk	31 - 40	46	2.9466	.59120	4 740	011*
physical Nisk	Above 40	19	3.3062	.47258	4.740	.011
	Total	104	2.9677	.57912		
	Upto 30	39	3.0545	.54697		
Functional Risk	31 - 40	46	3.0625	.66549	.124	.884
	Above 40	19	3.1316	.39192		(NS)
	Total	104	3.0721	.57578		
	Upto 30	39	2.9487	.73475		
Financial Risk	31 - 40	46	3.3116	.80486	2,906	050*
	Above 40	19	3.3158	.60094	2.900	1020
	Total	104	3.1763	.75939		

# **Table 4 Risk and Age Profile**

Social Risk	Upto 30	39	3.0085	.72643		
	31 - 40	46	3.1630	.88016	1 122	.329
	Above 40	19	3.3333	.68268	1.122	(NS)
	Total	104	3.1362	.79262		
	Upto 30	39	2.9795	.89654		
Time Risk	31 - 40	46	3.1087	.69628	1.086	.342
This Risk	Above 40	19	3.3053	.79266	1.000	(NS)
	Total	104	3.0962	.79463		
	Upto 30	39	2.8828	.72907		
Psychological Risk	31 - 40	46	3.1118	.69525	3 080	022*
i sychological Kisk	Above 40	19	3.4211	.56839	5.707	.022
	Total	104	3.0824	.70737		

Source: Primary data computed

From table it is observed that respondents in the above 40 age group perceive the lowest risk towards factors that contribute to risk across all six dimensions whereas respondents in the below 30 age perceive the highest risk across all dimensions. The younger age group is spoilt for choice with multiplicity of brands which raises their expectation bar and comparisons which lead to them perceiving a higher risk across all six dimensions.

From P value it can be observed that respondents of the different age groups vary significantly at 5 per cent level towards physical risk, financial risk and psychological risk.

Simcock (2006) showed that age differences are associated with specific risk factors and that the relationship of age and behavior was not always linear. Whilst most marketing practitioners tended to see the older groups as separate and different, there was little evidence to support this simplistic view.

# Suggestions

- It has been found that word of mouth reduces the social and time risk. Positive word of mouth happens only when present users are extremely satisfied with their cars.
- The point of contact for prospective buyers is the dealers. It is found that sales executive have a huge role to reduce physical risk, functional risk, financial risk and psychological risk. Hence sales and marketing executives at the dealer end should be well trained to answer all queries of customers.
- Manufacturers should make available facilities like ABS (Assisted braking system), seat belts for rear seats, child lock, reverse sensor even in low end vehicles as respondents who prefer vehicle for family usage have a higher perceived fear for factors of functional risk
- 48 per cent of respondents in this study give importance to country of origin. But there are strong auto players coming from Korea, India and China.

They identified that there are several types of risks that can discourage consumers from making a choice or delaying the purchase decision. By a proper use of risk reduction factors the perceived risk can be reduced. Hence manufacturers should give adequate importance to factors of perceived risk and in each of their activity should endeavor to have steps that reduces the perceived risk

# References

- Bauer, R.A., "Consumer behavior as risk taking, Dynamic Marketing for a changing World." American marketing Association, Chicago, (1960): 389 - 398.
- Chu, Kuo Kuong and Chi Hua li. "A study of the effect of risk-reduction strategies on purchase intentions in online shopping," International Journal of Electronic Business Management, 16.4 (2008) :213 - 226
- Doolin, B., Dillon, S., Thompson, F., & Corner, J. "Perceived risk and the internet shopping experience in online purchasing behavior". Journal of Global Information management, 13.2, (2002): pp. 66-88.
- Forsythe, M. & Shi, B., Consumer patronage and risk perceptions in internet shopping, Journal of Business Research, 56.11(2003):867-875
- Joseph, Lancelot. "Zipping ahead." Business India (July 10, 2011): 50 58
- Nicolas, C., & Castillo, J. Customer Knowledge Management and E-commerce: The role of customer perceived risk. International Journal of Information Management, 28 (2008):102-113
- Loudon L. David, Albert J. Della Bitta. Consumer Behavior. New Delhi: Tata McGraw-Hill, 2007
- Mafe, C. R., Blas, S. S., & Manzano, J.A. "Drivers and barriers to online ticket purchasing," Journal of Air Transport management, (2009):1-5.
- Massad, V. J., Tucker, J. M. Comparing bidding and pricing between in-person and online auctions, Online consumer Psycholoy: Understanding and

influencing Consumer Behavior Ed.Curtis P. Haugtvedt, Karen A. Machleit, Richard F. Yacht 9.5 (2000):325-332

- Simcock, Peter, Lynn Sudbury, Gillian Wright. Age, "Perceived Risk and Satisfaction in Consumer Decision Making: A Review and Extension," Journal of Marketing Management, 22. 3-4(2006):355 - 377
- Solomon, R. Michael. Consumer Behavior: Buying, having and Being, New Delhi: PHI Learning, 2009

#### ANNEXURE - I

# Physical Risks

- 1. I am not sure about the lighting system in the car
- 2. I am doubtful if I can get a good after sales service
- 3. I am not sure about the mileage that my car can give
- 4. I have a doubt if the car performance will be satisfactory
- 5. My car will not satiate my thirst for high speed driving
- 6. I am doubtful if the air conditioning system in the car can hold good in these days of global warming
- 7. I am not sure if the car is maintenance free
- 8. I am apologetic I have chosen a car devoid of blue tooth and other apps
- 9. I am worried I am settling for a car that does not have ABS (Anti lock braking system)
- 10. I am not sure about the engine pick up/ performance
- 11. I am afraid if my car has a larger boot space
- 12. I am afraid if I can have nation wide service outlets

#### **Functional Risks**

- 13. I am not sure that local technician can handle this technologically superior car
- 14. I feel that this car does not have Functional option like air bags
- 15. I fear I am buying a model which people complain of break problem
- 16. I am doubtful this vehicle does not have a road grip
- 17. I am doubtful of backseat comfort

# Volume 5 Issue 2 (August 2012)

- 18. I may not be able to traverse ghat roads in this car along with my friends
- 19. I am afraid if my car provides me with fully equipped in cabin experience
- 20. I am afraid if my car would provide a great driving experience

# **Financial Risks**

- 21. I am afraid that my car can be stolen
- 22. I am afraid that I am buying a expensive car
- 23. I am afraid I will have to pay a higher EMI for buying this car
- 24. I am afraid if the price of car is within my budget
- 25. I am confused if the car is worth the money I spend
- 26. I am not sure if there is a car loan that can help me from the hassle of wasting my time in loans elsewhere
- I am afraid of my decision to buy a car as I can invest that amount in some immovable properties (Opportunity cost)
- 28. I am afraid that I go for a car because of the loyalty / exchange bonus
- 29. I am afraid the price of the car will come down after I buy the car
- 30. I am afraid the cost of spares for this car can be expensive

# **Social Risks**

- 31. I am afraid if my social standing will get decreased after buying this car
- 32. I am afraid if the shape of my car will add my social status
- 33. I m not sure if I get a sense of more importance among my friends after I own the car
- 34. I am afraid the car can embarrass me by having starting problem where I may need the help of others to push my car
- 35. I fear the audio system in my car may looked substandard
- 36. I am not sure if my friends will complement me for my decision to purchase this car

37. I am afraid if the colour of my car is pleasing to others

#### **Psychological Risks**

- 38. I am confused if the car is liked by all members of the family
- 39. I am afraid that I will make a poor choice of a car
- 40. I am buying a car that is entering the market for the first time
- 41. I am unsure about the performance of my car after 3 4 years
- 42. I am worried the manufacturer will stop this model at any time and may launch a newer model making my car obsolete
- 43. I am afraid I may not be able to clean / cover the car properly
- 44. I am worried I can easily find a buyer when I want to dispose my car
- 45. I am afraid I am selecting the based on the reputation of the company

#### **Time Risks**

- 46. I am worried about the weighting time to get my car
- 47. I believe I wasted a lot of time in searching the car of my choice
- 48. I believe it will take a long time for me learn to drive a car
- 49. I am not sure if I need to spend my time in search of service mechanic
- 50. I am afraid if the car loan procedure will consume a huge amount of time